Introduction

There were around 1,600 road deaths in Australia in 2007 [1] and over 30,000 serious injuries in 2005-06 [2]. This means that approximately every 22 minutes someone is killed or seriously injured on Australia’s roads. The government estimates that the cost of road crashes is around $18 billion per year [3]. This includes hospital and medical costs and working hours lost.

In Australia from 2001 to 2006, Indigenous people had a higher rate of injury and death from road accidents than non-Indigenous people [4].

Impact of road injury

Road injury affects the community in many different ways. Apart from the direct physical effects of road injury (deaths and injuries), there are also the psychological effects of a road accident, such as grief and depression, as families try to cope with the death or disability of a family member [5].

Other consequences of road injuries:

- lost working time
- medical costs
- reduced quality of life
- legal costs
- workplace disruption
- long-term care
- vehicle repairs
- travel delays [5]

More detailed information about road safety in Indigenous people can be found at:
http://www.healthinfonet.ecu.edu.au/road_review
Not a lot of research has been done in the area of Indigenous road safety until quite recently. Just how many Indigenous people are affected by road injuries has been hard to determine, for two main reasons:

- road crashes involving Indigenous people are not always reported
- information on a person’s Indigenous status is not always collected

Usually, unless a person is identified as being Indigenous, they are automatically classified as non-Indigenous. This means that Indigenous people are not always properly identified, which leads to a lower number of Indigenous people counted in road crashes than actually are involved. It is possible that identification of Indigenous status may be better in remote areas than in urban areas [6].

Western Australia, South Australia, the Northern Territory and Queensland are the only four states where reporting of Indigenous status is believed to be fairly accurate [4]. However, for the reasons given above, the actual numbers could be up to 30% higher than the numbers reported. The numbers used in this section are as published, not altered to account for this possible under-reporting.

### Mortality

The leading causes of death from transport injury for Indigenous people are:

- motor-vehicle crashes including car, motorcycle, and pickup trucks and vans
- incidents involving pedestrians (see Table 1)

### Table 1. Numbers of Indigenous and non-Indigenous deaths from transport injuries for WA, SA, NT and Qld (2001-02 to 2005-06)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of injury</th>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th>Non-Indigenous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land transport</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor-vehicle crashes</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other land transport*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other** transport</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All transport</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [4]

* Small counts were omitted from original source so some columns may not add up

** Other transport includes water and air transport

### Motor vehicle crashes

Greater proportions of Indigenous people (26%) than non-Indigenous people (9%) die from crashes where only one vehicle is involved (such as roll-overs) [4].

### Pedestrians

For every non-Indigenous pedestrian who is killed there will be at least 9 Indigenous pedestrians who die. The numbers are higher for Indigenous pedestrians in every age group particularly those aged 35 to 54 years (see Table 2).

### Table 2. Age-specific death rates* for motor-vehicle crashes and pedestrian deaths, by Indigenous status, and rate ratios,** for WA, SA, NT and Qld 2001-02 to 2005-06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Motor-vehicle crashes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Pedestrian incidents</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indigenous rate</td>
<td>Non-Indigenous rate</td>
<td>Rate ratio</td>
<td>Indigenous rate</td>
<td>Non-Indigenous rate</td>
<td>Rate ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [4]

* Rates are per 100,000 population

** The ‘rate ratio’ is the Indigenous rate divided by the non-Indigenous rate
Crash statistics collected over 20 years show that pedestrian deaths and single vehicle crashes have continued to cause the greatest number of Indigenous deaths relating to road transport [4, 7-11].

**Hospitalisation**

The most recent information published on Indigenous people sent to hospital because of transport accidents is from 2001-02 to 2005-06 [4]. The information is collected only from WA, SA, the NT and Qld because these are the only states where Indigenous status is properly identified. Sixty percent of the Indigenous population of Australia and 38% of the total Australian population live in these states.

The data show that 4,938 Indigenous people were hospitalised during this period due to land transport injuries (3,338 males and 1,600 females). Thirty five of these people died while in hospital (0.7%). Indigenous people who were sent to hospital were mostly:

- car occupants
- pedestrians
- pedal cyclists

For Indigenous people in car accidents, passengers were more often seriously injured or killed than drivers. By contrast, non-Indigenous drivers were more often injured or killed than passengers.

**Factors contributing to road injury**

Possible reasons for the difference in number of road injuries between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people are as follows:

- higher proportion of Indigenous than non-Indigenous people live in remote or rural areas where over half of all fatal road crashes occur [3]
- Indigenous people have the following travel needs that are different from non-Indigenous people:
  - to attend funerals and to be with family at critical times
  - to return to country to support those who live there and to care for the land
  - to support family, including extended family, sometimes in far-flung areas [12]

These commitments often require travelling long distances during times of stress, to places where roads are rough and hard on vehicles. Due to the high cost of travel, large numbers of people often travel together in older vehicles not suited to rough roads or to carrying many people at once [12].

The following sections describe the factors that may lead to road injury, grouped according to whether they are human, environmental or vehicle factors. A separate section summarises factors that may play a part after the crash (post-crash factors).

**Human factors**

The main human factors involved in road crashes include:

- non-compliance with road laws
  - drink driving
  - not wearing seatbelts or restraints
  - overcrowding and illegal seating within vehicles
  - speed
  - carelessness about general road safety rules/practices
  - drunk pedestrians [13]

For Indigenous drivers, in particular, the main human factors involved are:

- alcohol - particularly in single-vehicle roll-overs and pedestrian deaths
- not using protective devices such as helmets and seatbelts [13] (studies show that Indigenous children were often injured in crashes because of not wearing a seatbelt) [14, 7]
- unlicensed driving

**Environmental factors**

The following environmental factors relate particularly to rural and remote areas of Australia:

- greater distances travelled (and therefore a greater risk of being involved in a road crash)
- higher speed limits
- poor condition of the roads
- few transport services (like buses)
- a big range of types and conditions of vehicles
- in the case of a crash, longer waiting times for medical support to arrive on the scene and less access to medical treatment and rehabilitation [7, 12, 15]
- greater risk of crashing into livestock and wildlife [16]
- less police checking of speed limits, alcohol use and seatbelt wearing [5]
Table 3. Land transport deaths of Indigenous people by remoteness area of residence (cases): NT, WA, SA and Qld, 2001-02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of residence</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>% Indigenous cases per remoteness area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major cities</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner regional</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer regional</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very remote</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [4]

Vehicle factors

Vehicle-related crashes are those that have been caused (or partly caused) by the poor condition of the vehicle. There is no evidence that vehicle-related factors cause more Indigenous road crashes than non-Indigenous road crashes, but vehicles in poor condition are certainly more involved in crashes in rural and remote Australia than in urban areas [13, 7]. It has been suggested that people of lower socioeconomic status (on lower incomes or unemployed) are more likely to have cars that are older and in poor condition [13, 12].

Risk factors after the crash

When crashes occur in rural and remote areas, emergency responses (ambulance and police) are slower because of the large distances [16]. As well, Indigenous people in rural areas are less likely to use mainstream health care services even when they are available [13]. This may be due to:
- the high cost
- no health or ambulance insurance cover
- long distance to a hospital
- lack of transport
- difficulty in taking time off work
- traditional values and a lack of culturally-appropriate services
- the need for referrals
- not enough information about the benefits of medical care, or
- cultural reasons

Prevention and management in Indigenous road safety

The development of programs and projects to help prevent road crashes and injuries depend on a very good understanding of the factors contributing to road injuries. In an effort to improve Indigenous road safety, various governments have attempted to improve:

- general road safety
- community resources
- licensing and alcohol programs
- restraint wearing
- vehicle purchasing [9]

Most community-based Indigenous road safety programs that have been created, aim to educate people about:

- the terrible effect that alcohol can have in causing road crashes
- the importance of using seatbelts and child restraints
- the dangers of riding in the back of trucks (in the NT and WA it is illegal to ride in the back of all utility vehicles, even those fitted with a roll-cage, but other states and territories do not have the same laws).

In the past, most Indigenous road safety programs have not been designed by Indigenous people [13]. Now, however, it is understood that the best road safety programs for Indigenous Australians are those that are led by a community-based road safety educator and involve consultation with Indigenous people, group work and ‘hands-on’ learning [9].

Since 2000, several states have developed road safety programs and resources that are aimed at the Indigenous population, most of which have been developed using advice from Aboriginal community members.

Examples include:
- ‘Keep our kids safe: buckle them up’ poster and brochures from Transport SA (2000);
- ‘Corrugations to highways’ Aboriginal road safety video (2002) developed by the National Aboriginal Road Safety Video Project Team, shot in remote communities in WA, SA and the NT;
- ‘Bring the mob home safely’ (2003) by the NSW Roads and Traffic Authority. This kit contains a range of resources targeting drink driving, seat belts, overcrowding, speeding, pedestrian and bicycle safety;
- ‘Back of trucks’ campaign (2003) by the NT Department of
Linking different activities from all areas of road safety will hopefully result in fewer deaths and injuries [18]. Road users still need to be responsible for their own safety under the Safe Systems approach - no matter how good a road is, crashes will still happen if the users do not follow the rules. But if people are aware of the risks associated with road travel they are able to make better decisions about their own behaviour.

Australia’s approach to road safety improvement is based on the theory of the Safe System. However, there is still much to be done to make the Safe System a part of regular practice [3].

Policies and strategies

Road safety strategies and policies are mostly run by states, territories and local governments, which conduct their own road safety programs.

The Federal Government is responsible for:
- funding major road programs
- the treatment of black spots (places with frequent accidents)
- deciding on safety standards for new vehicles
- research
- collection and analysis of national road crash statistics
- being responsible for the sharing of ideas and information among groups involved in road safety [19]

National Road Safety Strategy 2001-2010

In November 2000, Australia adopted the National Road Safety Strategy 2001-2010. This strategy provides a plan for all levels of government (federal, state/territory and local governments) and other organisations involved in road safety to work together [5]. The main aim of the strategy is to reduce the annual number of road deaths by 40%, from 9.3 per 100,000 people in 1999 to no more than 5.6 in 2010.

The Australian Transport Safety Bureau develops Road Safety Action Plans every two years. These Action Plans have specific targets that can be measured, and will help to achieve the objectives of the National Road Safety Strategy 2001-2010 [5]. For example, one target is to add centre lines to major undivided rural roads, another is to increase random drug testing. The action plans are reviewed at the end of each two-year period.

The National Road Safety Action Plan: 2009 and 2010 is the final two-year plan to come out of the 2001-2010 strategy. The plan:
- identifies what it expects will be the main issues affecting road trauma levels in the near future
- sets out the most important areas for action in 2009 and 2010
• discusses issues and actions that will provide the starting point for long-term improvements in road safety
• discusses the possibility that the 2010 target for reducing road deaths (from 9.3 to 5.6 per 100,000 in 2010) will not be achieved [3]

The National Road Safety Strategy 2001-2010 recognises road safety for Indigenous people as a particular concern [20].

Indigenous road safety forum

The Federal Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Local Government, with assistance from the states and territories, holds an Indigenous road safety forum once every two years. The Forum aims to improve on ideas and programs in Indigenous road safety. People attending this forum are from organisations that play an important role in Indigenous road safety, from federal, state and territory transport, health, safety, police, and sport and cultural affairs agencies.

The 4th Indigenous Road Safety Forum, in Cairns, Queensland, in October 2008, provided an opportunity for people to share ideas and experiences, and to help communities introduce practical solutions to Indigenous road safety problems.

The forum included these topics:
• progress in Indigenous road safety
• sharing Indigenous road safety information on the Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet
• improving the collection of road safety statistics
• communicating with communities
• Indigenous road safety in rural areas
• licensing

This forum, as with previous forums, noted that although national data on Indigenous road safety is not very accurate or complete, the numbers suggest that the rate of Indigenous road deaths is much higher than the non-Indigenous rate.

The forum included workshops on:
• statistics
• registration and licensing
• drink driving and drink walking
• incorporating Indigenous road safety into the national road safety strategy beyond 2010
• involving Indigenous people in the communities

There have been no published recommendations from the forum, however a progress report on the recommendations from the 3rd Indigenous Road Safety Forum held in Broome in 2006 is available.

Concluding comments

Reducing the number of road injuries in the Indigenous community requires prevention and management programs. To be successful, these programs need strong leadership and a good working relationship between different areas and levels of government. The transportation sector (who are directly responsible for road safety) will need to work closely with the police, local government, the health sector and other relevant groups.

In addition, the Indigenous community will need to play a key role in developing and running programs and projects to improve Indigenous road safety.
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